AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY, VIRGINIA HELD ON THE 12th DAY OF OCTOBER 2010 AT 6:45 P.M. IN THE BOARD CHAMBERS, MONTGOMERY COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER, 755 ROANOKE STREET, CHRISTIANSBURG, VIRGINIA:

PRESENT: Annette S. Perkins -Chair

James D. Politis -Vice Chair Mary W. Biggs -Supervisors

William H. Brown Gary D. Creed Doug Marrs John A. Muffo

F. Craig Meadows -County Administrator

L. Carol Edmonds -Assistant County Administrator

Martin M. McMahon -County Attorney
Steve Sandy -Planning Director

Vickie L. Swinney -Secretary, Board of Supervisors

CALL TO ORDER

The Chair called the meeting to order.

ADDENDUM – ADD TO CLOSED MEETING

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by William H. Brown, and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby amends the Closed Meeting for the purpose of discussing the following:

- (1) Discussion, Consideration or Interviews of Prospective Candidates for Employment; Assignment, Appointment, Promotion, Performance, Demotion, Salaries, Disciplining or Resignation of Specific Officers, Appointees or Employees of Any Public Body
 - 1. County Attorney's Review

The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

AYE
Gary D. Creed
William H. Brown
James D. Politis
Mary W. Biggs
Doug Marrs
John A. Muffo
Annette S. Perkins

INTO CLOSED MEETING

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by William H. Brown, and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors hereby enters into Closed Meeting for the purpose of discussing the following:

Section 2.2-3711

- (5) Discussion Concerning a Prospective Business or Industry or the Expansion of an Existing Business or Industry Where No Previous Announcement Has Been Made of the Business or Industry's Interest in Locating or Expanding Its Facilities in the Community.
 - 1. Project # 2010025
- (1) Discussion, Consideration or Interviews of Prospective Candidates for Employment; Assignment, Appointment, Promotion, Performance, Demotion, Salaries, Disciplining or Resignation of Specific Officers, Appointees or Employees of Any Public Body
 - 1. County Attorney's Review

The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

AYE
Gary D. Creed
William H. Brown
James D. Politis
Mary W. Biggs
Doug Marrs
John A. Muffo
Annette S. Perkins

OUT OF CLOSED MEETING

On a motion by William H. Brown, seconded by Mary W. Biggs and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors ends their Closed Meeting to return to Regular Session.

The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

AYE
William H. Brown
James D. Politis
Mary W. Biggs
Doug Marrs
John A. Muffo
Gary D. Creed
Annette S. Perkins

CERTIFICATION OF CLOSED MEETING

On a motion by James D. Politis, seconded by Mary W. Biggs and carried unanimously,

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County has convened a Closed Meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3711 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the Board that such Closed Meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia hereby certifies that to the best of each member's knowledge (i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, and (ii) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion conveying the closed meeting were heard, discussed or considered by the Board.

VOTE

AYES

James D. Politis

Mary W. Biggs

Doug Marrs

John A. Muffo

Gary D. Creed

William H. Brown

Annette S. Perkins

NAYS

None

ABSENT DURING VOTE

None

ABSENT DURING MEETING

None

INVOCATION

A moment of silence was lead by the Chair.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

PUBLIC HEARING

<u>Proposed Ordinance to Amend Chapter 2, Section 2-107, Entitled Tax Exemption and Deferrals for the Elderly and Handicapped.</u>

An Ordinance Amending Chapter 2, Division 5, Section 2-107 of the Code of the County of Montgomery, Virginia Entitled Tax Exemption and Deferrals for Elderly and Handicapped by Increasing the Net Combined Financial Worth and the Income Limits to be Eligible for Relief.

The County Attorney summarized the proposed ordinance amendment. In 2008 it was recommended to increase the income limits by increments of \$5,000 annually moving toward the state maximum of \$50.000, for the elderly and disabled as consideration of exemption or deferral of real estate taxation. This ordinance amendment would increase the income limit from \$40,000 to \$45,000 and also increases the net combined financial worth from \$100,000 to \$125,000. The change shall be effective for the 2011 tax year.

There being no speakers, the public hearing was closed.

DELEGATIONS

Virginia Department of Transportation

David Clarke, VDOT Residency Administrator, updated the Board of Supervisors on road issues/concerns in Montgomery County. Mr. Clarke reported that VDOT's summer projects, such as brush cutting and paving are winding down and they are starting to prepare for the winter season.

Board of Supervisors Concerns:

Supervisor Creed:

<u>Dark Run Road (SR 633)</u>— School Bus drivers are complaining of hanging tree limbs and overgrown brush along Dark Run Rd. One bus driver reported that a side view mirror was torn off the bus due to hanging tree limbs. There are several deep potholes on the road that need attention. Also, the overgrown brush is creating problems of site distance at the intersection of Dark Run Road and Rt. 11/460.

Grahams Trailer Park, located at 7816 Roanoke Road in Shawsville has a new entrance located right in the curve of the road. School Bus drivers have expressed their concerns with safety issues. David Clarke replied he will check to see if a permit was obtained to allow the entrance.

<u>Cambria/Franklin Street Intersection</u> – Stop lights are out of line with the lanes David Clarke replied that the lights do get out of adjustment due to wind, etc. He will check to see if they need readjusted.

Supervisor Politis

<u>Camp Carysbrook Road (SR 673)-</u> Signage is missing when you travel from Christiansburg to Floyd.

Brush Creek Road (SR 617) and Poff School Road (SR 601) need dust treatment.

Supervisor Biggs

<u>Brooksfield Road (SR 654)</u> – Supervisor Biggs stated brush is covering up the stop sign located at the intersection of Brooksfield Road and Prices Fork Road. She was taking a co-worker home and could not see the stop sign when trying to pull out onto Prices Fork Road.

Supervisor Perkins

Requested mowing in the Mid-County area of the County. She stated that the areas in the town limits of Blacksburg and Christiansburg are mowed but the County section in between needs to be mowed.

Virginia Byway Designation for Wilderness Road: Heritage Migration Route

Terry Nicholson, Town of Blacksburg Museum Director, made the following presentation on the establishment of the Virginia Byway for Wilderness Road – Heritage Migration Route.

Wilderness Road: Virginia's Heritage Migration Route

The Wilderness Road: Virginia's Heritage Migration Route is a cultural heritage tourism marketing initiative presenting the story of early immigration from Philadelphia south along long established migration routes. These routes were known by several names including the Great Wagon Road, the Indian Road, the Great Philadelphia Road, and the Valley Turnpike to mention only a few.

The establishment of the Wilderness Road: Virginia's Heritage Migration Route marks a new era of partnership and cooperation for western Virginia's heritage tourism industry. Since its inception in 2005, the Wilderness Road Partnership, consisting of twenty-eight tourism industry partners and representatives from state and local government, has undertaken the development and promotion of this unique thematic heritage tourism product.

The exact route

The almost 500 mile route represented by the Wilderness Road partnership stretches from Winchester to Cumberland Gap. Specifically, the route encompasses the localities that adjoin the Route 11 corridor from Winchester to Bristol (Great Wagon Road, Crossroads to Settlement and Frontier Trail) and then the localities on Route 58 from Bristol to the Cumberland Gap (Daniel Boone Wilderness Trail). In addition the region also includes the localities on Route 220 from Roanoke south to Martinsville (Carolina Road), and the localities on Routes (614, 42, 61, 19, 71 and 58) from Fincastle to Gate City (Fincastle Turnpike).

Supporting the Wilderness Road's efforts to gain Virginia Byway designation

The purpose of seeking Virginia Byway designation is to create and enhance community and economic development throughout the Wilderness Road region. We believe in and support the coordination of the enhancement and preservation of the historic character and the natural scenic beauty of the Wilderness Road Region.

Purpose of the Virginia Byway program

The program identifies road corridors containing aesthetic or cultural value near areas of historical, natural or recreational significance. By designating certain roads as Virginia Byways, widely distributing "A Map of Scenic Roads in Virginia," and promoting the Virginia Scenic Roads Web site, the program encourages travel to interesting destinations and away from high-traffic corridors.

Byways also stimulate local economies by attracting visitors to lesser-known destinations. One study showed visitors spent \$1.8 billion in counties adjacent to the Blue Ridge Parkway in Virginia and North Carolina. This supported nearly 75,000 jobs and generated more than \$147 million in tax revenues in the region.

What makes a Virginia Byway different from other roads?

People like to explore. The 2000 Virginia Outdoors Survey, conducted by Virginia's Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR), finds that driving for pleasure is the second most popular outdoor activity, with more than 62% of the population participating.

By following the highlighted byways on the state transportation map, the scenic roads map and the scenic roads web site, visitors are directed to places where they can tour wineries, explore Civil War battle sites and historical attractions, view beautiful scenery and enjoy recreational resources.

Once designated, a byway becomes part of the coordinated promotional strategy for Virginia tourism.

Other important considerations regarding Virginia Byway status

Virginia Byway designation gives localities the opportunity to participate in the National Scenic Byway Program.

- It might limit placement of outdoor advertising signs.
- It does not affect land use controls.
- It does not limit road improvements.

What is a Virginia Byway?

Per Virginia Code, "Virginia Byway" means those highways designated by the Commonwealth Transportation Board (CTB) pursuant to articles §33.1-62 through §33.1-66. The Virginia Outdoors Plan, from DCR, identifies roads that have been considered as having intrinsic qualities of Virginia Byways for many years. In addition, there are other roads that meet the criteria for designation.

What are the criteria?

To be considered, a segment of road must substantially meet the following criteria:

- The route provides important scenic values and experiences.
- There is a diversity of experiences, as in transition from one landscape scene to another.
- The route links together or provides access to scenic, historic, recreational, cultural, natural and archeological elements.
- The route bypasses major roads or provides opportunities to leave high-speed routes for variety and leisure in motoring. Landscape control or management along the route is feasible.

- The route allows for additional features that will enhance the motorist's experience and improve safety.
- Local government(s) has/have initiated zoning or other land-use controls, so as to reasonably protect the aesthetic and cultural value of the highway.

How can I get more information about the Virginia Byway program?

Contact Erica Jeter, Virginia Department of Transportation, at Erica.Jeter@VDOT.Virginia.gov or Lynn Crump, Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation, at Lynn.Crump@dcr.virginia.gov.

Board members support the concept but asked what type of limitations would be imposed to businesses along the route.

Mr. Nicholson stated that the designation will not limit development along the corridor but there will be limitation on signage.

Supervisor Muffo asked what specific limitations would be imposed on businesses and what would be the secondary affects?

Additional information will be forwarded to the Board.

INTO WORK SESSION

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by William H. Brown and carried unanimously,

BET IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors hereby enters into Work Session for the purpose of discussing the following:

- 1. Tourism Agreement
- 2. OWPR Presentation on Blacksburg High School

The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

AYE
Mary W. Biggs
None
Doug Marrs
John A. Muffo
Gary D. Creed
William H. Brown
James D. Politis
Annette S. Perkins

Tourism Agreement

The County Administrator reported that Montgomery County has been working with the Towns of Blacksburg and Christiansburg to establish a cooperative agreement for the creation and administration of a jointly sponsored tourism program.

A draft agreement was submitted to the Board for review. The agreement will be discussed during the County/Town Liaison meeting on October 13, 2010. A resolution for consideration will be added to a future Board agenda.

OWPR Presentation on Blacksburg High School

Information on the Blacksburg High School cost estimates was provided to the Board of Supervisors.

J.D. Price, OWPR, Inc., provided opening remarks.

Robert Carpenter, McDonough Bolyard Peck, Inc., reviewed the costs estimates on the repairs and gym replacement as follows:

Estimated	Total Co	st Rep	airs an	d
C	- DI-			
Gyr	n Replac	cemeni		
Market Land Street Land Street Land Street	Construction			THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE
Description	Estimate	Design/CM	Contingency	Total Budget
Testing of Concrete Beams	\$95,000	\$20,000	\$9,500	\$124,500
Demolition/Repair Walls for Testing	\$325,000	\$14,000	\$32,500	\$371,50
Tear off/Patch Roof for Testing	\$259,000	\$9,000	\$25,900	\$293,90
OWPR's Category 1 Items	\$141,000	\$3,000	\$14,100	\$158,10
Wall Settlement Repairs	\$66,000	\$3,000	\$6,600	\$75,60
Auditorium Roof Structural Repairs	\$170,000	\$8,000	\$17,000	\$195,000
Deficient Concrete Beams Repair	\$3,400,000	\$148,000	\$340,000	\$3,888,00
Replace Roof after Repairs	\$715,000	\$45,000	\$71,500	\$831,50
Sub-Total Testing & Repairs	\$5,171,000	\$250,000	\$517,100	\$5,938,10
Design Contingency	\$ -	\$25,000	\$ -	\$25,000
	\$5,171,000	\$275,000	\$517,100	\$5,963,10
Total Testing & Repairs	\$6,492,761	\$1,207,530	\$770,029	\$8,470,32
	\$6,492,761			
Total Testing & Repairs Gym Replacement Total Gym Repaicement, Testing &	\$6,492,761			

Interior Environment and Building Operations Improvements

Description	Construction Estimate	Design/ CM	Contingency	Total Budget
Replace Heating, Ventilation & Air Conditioning	\$2,641,800	\$220,332	\$437,868	\$3,300,000
Replace Fire Alarm	\$265,000	\$17,000	\$26,500	\$308,500
Restroom ADA Requirement Upgrade	\$200,000	\$15,800	\$20,000	\$235,800
Add Sprinkler System	\$838,000	\$40,700	\$83,800	\$962,500
OWPR' Category 2 items-Exterior-33 items	\$24,000	\$ -	\$2,400	\$26,400
Borings four (4) as suggested in ATS' report	\$6,630	\$ -	\$660	\$7,290
ATS Math Classroom M-6 Resistivity Imaging /GPR	\$3,500	\$ -	\$350	\$3,850
Total	\$3,978,930	\$293,832	\$571,578	\$4,844,340

Other Suggested Improvements

Description	Construction Estimate	Design/ CM	Contingency	Total Budget
Replace Vinyl Asbestos Floor Tile	\$256,000	\$25,600	\$25,600	\$307,200
Replace Carpet	\$91,000	\$9,100	\$9,100	\$109,200
Paint Interior Walls	\$418,000	\$41,800	\$41,800	\$501,600
Replace Ceiling Grid and Tiles	\$418,000	\$41,800	\$41,800	\$501,600
Replace Auditorium Seating	\$210,000	\$21,000	\$21,000	\$252,000
Replace Furniture and Upgrade Technology	\$1,500,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$1,800,000
Subtotal of Potential Interior Environment Improvements	\$2,893,000.00	\$289,300.00	\$289,300	\$3,471,600

Grand Total

Description	Construction Estimate	Design/	Contingency	Total Budget
Testing of Concrete Beams	\$95,000	\$20,000	\$9,500	\$124,500
Demolition/Repair Walls for Testing	\$325,000	\$14,000	\$32,500	\$371,500
Tear off/Patch Roof for Testing	\$259,000	\$9,000	\$25,900	\$293,900
OWPR's Category 1 Items	\$141,000	\$3,000	\$14,100	\$158,100
Wall Settlement Repairs	\$66,000	\$3,000	\$6,600	\$75,600
Auditorium Roof Structural Repairs	\$170,000	\$8,000	\$17,000	\$195,000
Deficient Concrete Beams Repair	\$3,400,000	\$148,000	\$340,000	\$3,888,000
Replace Roof after Repairs	\$715,000	\$45,000	\$71,500	\$831,500
Total Testing & Repairs	\$5,171,000	\$250,000	\$517,100	\$5,938,100
Design Contingency	\$ -	\$25,000	\$ -	\$25,000
Gym Replacement	\$6,492,761	\$1,207,530	\$770,029	\$8,470,320
Subtotal Gym Replacement, Testing & Repairs	\$11,663,761	\$1,482,530	\$1,287,129	\$14,433,420
Replace Heating, Ventilation & Air Conditioning	\$2,641,800	\$220,332	\$437,868	\$3,300,000
Replace Fire Alarm	\$265,000	\$17,000	\$26,500	\$308,500
Restroom ADA Requirement Upgrade	\$200,000	\$15,800	\$20,000	\$235,800
Add Sprinkler System	\$838,000	\$40,700	\$83,800	\$962,500
OWPR' Category 2 items-Exterior-33 items	524,000	\$ -	\$2,400	\$26,400
Borings-four (4) as suggested in ATS' report	\$6,630	\$ -	\$660	\$7,290
ATS Math Classroom M-6 Resistivity Imaging /GPR	\$3,500	5 -	\$350	\$3,850
Subtotal Work that can be done to Improve School	\$3,978,930	\$293,832	\$571,578	\$4,844,340
Grand Total	\$15,642,691	\$1,776,362	\$1,858,707	\$19,277,760

Mr. Carpenter reported that the cost for the testing and repair of the beams and gym replacement totals \$14.4 million. The cost for improvements, such as the replacement of the heating, ventilation, and air condition, is estimated at \$4.8 million, which brings the grand total to \$19.2 million. Other suggested improvements, such as replacing flooring, auditorium seating, furniture and upgraded technology are estimated at \$3.4 million. If the additional upgrades are approved, the total is \$23 million.

Mr. Carpenter stated that the total of \$23 million does not include any repairs that are required from the Town of Blacksburg Code Office. They are expecting a report from the Blacksburg Code Office by next week and will be able to provide an estimated cost on these repairs.

Mr. Price provided additional information on the building codes. Repairs made to the original construction may be repaired to the 1969 Southern Building Code. Any new construction, such as the gym replacement, must meet the current 2006 building code in place. Any alterations and improvements must also meet the current building code.

Supervisor Creed asked if the school facility housing the classrooms were damaged because of the gym roof collapse.

Mr. Price responded that there were some walls that were buckled, but there is no evidence to suggest that the roof collapse contributed to any damage to the building.

Board members questioned the engineers on concerns voiced by residents such as, cracks in the walls, void in the math area, and sinkholes.

OUT OF WORK SESSION

On a motion by William H. Brown, seconded by James D. Politis and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors ends their Work Session to return to Regular Session.

The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

AYE
Doug Marrs
John A. Muffo
Gary D. Creed
William H. Brown
James D. Politis
Mary W. Biggs
Annette S. Perkins

PUBLIC ADDRESS

Georgia Ann Snyder-Falkinham expressed concern with the lack of records and inspection of the Blacksburg High School facility and lack of building permits for alterations in the facility. Ms. Snyder-Falkinham suggested the Board of Supervisors hold a joint meeting with the School Board and Town of Blacksburg officials to decide on a long-term plan.

<u>Barbara Brady</u> expressed concerns related to the Blacksburg High School. Ms. Brady is concerned that the same firm that designed the BHS is the same firm performing inspections on the school. She stated that BHS serves students in the unincorporated area of the County also, not just students who live in town. Ms. Brady stated she will not send her child back to a school that is not deemed safe.

<u>Arne Saari</u> addressed the Board regarding misinformation about the Blacksburg High School issue. Mr. Sarri explained that the BHS roof in the 1970's did not collapse but leaked. He for one did not like the design of the school from the beginning as it was built for as an open space plan. He believes if the school can be repaired safely then the County needs to get it repaired and get the children back into the school. If the repairs are done properly, he believes the facility can be used as a school for a long time.

Stacy Martin addressed the Board on what kind of impact a major tax increase will have on the county's bond rating. The bond rating is determined on the county's ability to pay back debt and how much debt it incurs. Will citizens be able to afford to live in Montgomery County? Mr. Martin stated the Board needs to find alternative ways to fund capital projects other than a tax increase. He also asked about the status of the insurance money from the gym roof collapse and if county officials were pursuing the insurance company for more money.

<u>Deborah Carlier</u> urged the Board to approve the School Board resolutions to build two new schools and renovate the Auburn High School for the Auburn Middle School. Ms. Carlier stated a new school is not a luxury but a necessity. She stated that since the cost to repair BHS is rapidly increasing, and the karst hazards on the site, it is wise to construct a new school.

<u>Laura Carroll</u>, a concerned parent, stated she moved to Montgomery County because of the high quality of education in the schools. She stated she is speaking emotionally as her children are her life and she would not want her children going back into the Blacksburg High School facility even after repairs.

Mary Ann Bonado thanked the Board for their decision at their last meeting to reconsider their vote regarding the Blacksburg High School. Ms. Bonado asked the Board to reflect on how much is too much to spend on repairs and if they are willing to throw money in a money pit. She asked the Board to consider the economic impact to the community if the wrong decision is made. Ms. Bonado also asked the Board to consider the emotional and physical trauma students have already experienced and the increased trauma if they have to return to a repaired school.

<u>Kristy Snyder</u> believes not having a high school in Blacksburg will impact the entire community, not just Blacksburg, and will impact the business community. She asked what is the tipping point on deciding how much is too much to spend to repair the Blacksburg High School. The cost estimate for repairs is increasing with a lot of unknowns and she thinks there is a need for a new school. Ms. Snyder also expressed concerns with the lack of inspections at Blacksburg High School and questioned who is responsible for inspection of the building.

<u>Michelle Wilkins</u> believes the main issue is the safety of Blacksburg High School. There are a lot of numbers being thrown about on the cost of the repairs to the facility but what about the safety. She stated the school is full of cracks, not built to code and the school was built upon karst land, which she believes is a safety hazard. She also expressed concern with the same engineering firm that designed the BHS is the same firm inspecting the building today.

<u>Karen Elingston</u> asked that the Board of Supervisors invite the Town of Blacksburg to their next joint meeting with the School Board so they can be included in discussions regarding the Blacksburg High School. Ms. Elingston stated they are not asking for a palace but a safe school building for their children.

<u>Mark McConnell</u> suggested that the old Blacksburg Middle School be repaired and renovated for use as a high school. He stated the County would benefit from tax credits and believes there are mechanisms that can be used to help meet the capital costs.

<u>Jeff Mecham</u> expressed his disappointment with OWPR's presentation tonight regarding the Blacksburg High School as it paled in comparison to the one they made to the School Board. Mr. Mecham stated he could not believe there is not a regular inspection schedule for all the school buildings in Montgomery County. He believes that the Board of Supervisors and the School Board need to work together and schedule a meeting where both boards can be presented information on the Blacksburg High School.

There being no further speakers, the public address session was closed.

RECESS

The Board took a ten minute recess at 9:30 p.m. and reconvened at 9:40 p.m.

ADDENDUM

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by William H. Brown and carried unanimously, the following addendum dated October 12, 2010 was added to the Consent Agenda:

- Proclamation – Virginia GED and Career Pathways Awareness Week

The vote on the foregoing resolution was as follows:

AYE NAY John A. Muffo None

Gary D. Creed William H. Brown James D. Politis Mary W. Biggs Doug Marrs

Annette S. Perkins

CONSENT AGENDA

On a motion by James D. Politis, seconded by William H. Brown and carried unanimously, the Consent Agenda dated October 12, 2010 was approved.

The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

AYE NAY None Gary D. Creed William H. Brown James D. Politis

Mary W. Biggs

Doug Marrs

John A. Muffo

Annette S. Perkins

Approval of Minutes

On a motion by James D. Politis, seconded by William H. Brown and carried unanimously, the minutes dated July 12, 2010 were approved.

Appointments

R-FY-11-36 ALCOHOL SAFETY ACTION PROGRAM REAPPOINT BRAD FINCH

On a motion by James D. Politis, seconded by William H. Brown and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia hereby reappoints Brad Finch to the Alcohol Safety Action Program (New River Valley) effective October 28, 2010 and expiring October 27, 2011.

R-FY-11-37 PROCLAMATION GED AND CAREER PATHWAYS AWARENESS WEEK OCTOBER 18-23, 2010

On a motion by James D. Politis, seconded by William H. Brown and carried unanimously,

- WHEREAS, The foundation of democracy is based upon an educated citizenry, and education should be made available to people of all ages to gain knowledge and skills; and
- WHEREAS, A well-educated, highly skilled workforce is essential to the economic growth of Montgomery County; and
- WHEREAS, The emerging 21st century economy will be driven by jobs that will require lifelong learning from employees and require a higher skill set; and
- WHEREAS, Adult education offers classes to assist adults in improving basic reading, writing, math skills and/or computer skills, to pursue further education, to enter or advance in the job market, or to enhance their personal and family lives; and
- WHEREAS, Adult education offers classes to prepare adults to take the General Educational Development (GED) Test; and
- WHEREAS, Adult education serves students of diverse populations that include adults with learning disabilities, physical and emotional disabilities, and adult learners in family literacy programs and correctional institutions; and
- WHEREAS, Adult education works cooperatively with local, state, and federal agencies such as the Montgomery County Department of Social Services, the New River/Mount Rogers Workforce Investment Board, and the Virginia Workforce Centers in the New River Valley Planning District to meet the goals and needs of mutual clients; and
- WHEREAS, The Montgomery County Public Schools Adult Education Program facilitates adult education programs in Montgomery County and provides services to an average of 340 people annually; and
- WHEREAS, It is timely and appropriate that attention be focused on the special efforts and dedication of Montgomery County Public Schools Adult Education Program's adult educators who prepare individuals for productive employment and enriched lives.

<u>Awareness Week</u> in the County of Montgomery and urges all its citizens to become familiar with the services and benefits offered by adult education and to support and participate in these programs.

OLD BUSINESS

ORD-FY-11-03 AN ORDINANCE CREATING AN ADDITION TO AGRICULTURAL AND FORESTAL DISTRICT AFD-6 WITHIN MONTGOMERY COUNTY, VIRGINIA MACON SAMMONS

On a motion by Gary D. Creed, seconded by James D. Politis and carried unanimously,

WHEREAS, Macon C. Sammons, Jr. has filed application for an addition to AFD-6 on March 8, 2010, which Agricultural and Forestal District was created by ordinance adopted on May 26, 1981 and previously reviewed by ordinance adopted on December 14, 2009 and currently scheduled to terminate on December 14, 2017; and

WHEREAS, The application was referred to the Agricultural and Forestal District Advisory Committee and said Committee has reviewed the application and reported its findings and recommendations on August 24, 2010; and

WHEREAS, The application was referred to the Planning Commission and said Commission did publish notice of the pendency of the application on August 25, September 1, and September 8, 2010, in the New River Current, a newspaper of general circulation within Montgomery County, Virginia; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors, after proper notice, held its public hearing on September 27, 2010.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, By the Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia:

- (1) That the addition to the Agricultural and Forestal District AFD-6 is in accordance with the provision of Title 15.2, Chapter 43, Sections 15.2-4300 through 15.2-4314, Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.
- (2) That said addition shall consist of approximately 20.377 acres owned by Macon C. Sammons on Hurst Road (Rt. 782) in the Shawsville Magisterial District of Montgomery County consisting of Tax Map No. 097-A-122 and 097-A-121 (Acct No(s). 020096 and 020097).

The vote on the foregoing ordinance was as follows:

AYE
William H. Brown
James D. Politis
Mary W. Biggs
Doug Marrs
John A. Muffo
Gary D. Creed
Annette S. Perkins

R-FY-11-38 RESOLUTION TO REFUND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY LEASE REVENUE BOND SERIES 2001

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by Doug Marrs and carried unanimously,

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors (the "Board of Supervisors") of the County of Montgomery, Virginia (the "County") has received a plan of refinancing from the County's Financial Advisor Davenport & Company LLC (the "Financial Advisor") for the refunding of certain outstanding lease revenue bonds issued by the Industrial Development Authority of Montgomery County, Virginia, now known as the Economic Development Authority of Montgomery County, Virginia (the "Authority"), specifically the Authority's Lease Revenue Bonds, Series 2001 in the original principal amount of \$16,995,000 (the "2001 Bonds") through the issuance of the Authority's Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2010, including financing costs of issuance of such refunding bonds; and

WHEREAS, The 2001 Bonds were issued to finance a portion of the costs of the acquisition, construction and equipping of certain improvements and renovations to the Christiansburg Middle School and certain other capital projects for the Montgomery County School System (together, the "Project"), to fund a debt service reserve fund for the 2001 Bonds and to pay the costs of issuance of the 2001 Bonds; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors has reviewed the recommendations from the Financial Advisor and Sands Anderson PC ("Bond Counsel") and has determined that the proposed refunding bonds provide attractive financing terms and significant savings to the County and the Authority from refunding all or a portion of the 2001 Bonds and the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the County desires to proceed with such financing; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors hereby requests the Authority to (a) issue, offer and sell its public facility lease revenue refunding bonds in an amount of up to \$14,000,000 (the "Bonds") and to use the proceeds of the Bonds to refund all or a portion of the outstanding 2001 Bonds (the "Bonds to be Refunded"), (b) lease all or a portion of the real estate on which the Christiansburg Middle School is located (the "Leased Property") pursuant to a ground lease with the County and the Montgomery County School Board (the "School Board") and to lease the Leased Property to the County pursuant to a lease agreement between the County and the Authority with the County providing its moral obligation in support of the payment of the Bonds,

(c) secure the Bonds by an assignment of its rights under such lease agreement ground lease (except the right to receive indemnification, to receive notices and to give consents and to receive its administrative expenses) to a corporate trustee to be selected by the County (the "Trustee"), under an assignment agreement between the Authority and the Trustee, which is to be acknowledged and consented to by the County, and (d) enter into an escrow agreement for the investment of Bond proceeds and the redemption in full of the Bonds to be Refunded whether through a current or an advance refunding or refundings, all to accomplish certain purposes of the Virginia Industrial Development Revenue Bond Act (the "Act"); and

WHEREAS, There have been presented to this meeting drafts of the following documents (collectively, the "Documents") in connection with the transactions described above, copies of which shall be filed with the records of the County Administrator:

- a. a Ground Lease, among the County, the School Board and the Authority conveying to the Authority a leasehold interest in the Leased Property (the "Ground Lease");
- b. an Indenture of Trust between the Authority and the Trustee, and approved by the County, relating to the issuance of the Bonds (the "Indenture");
- c. a Financing Lease between the Authority and the County re-conveying to the County the Leased Property (the "Financing Lease");
- d. an Assignment of Rents and Leases between the Authority and the Trustee, assigning to the Trustee certain of the Authority's rights under the Financing Lease and the Ground Lease, which is to be acknowledged and consented to by the County and the School Board (the "Assignment Agreement");
- e. an Escrow Agreement between the County and an Escrow Agent, wherein a portion of the proceeds from the Bonds will be used to refund the Bonds to be Refunded, which is to be acknowledged and consented to by the Authority (the "Escrow Agreement");
- f. a Continuing Disclosure Agreement to be executed by the County to provide for continuing disclosure with respect to the Bonds in accordance with the Rule (as defined below) (the "Continuing Disclosure Agreement");
- g. a Specimen Bond; and
- h. an Official Notice of Bond Sale to advertise the Bonds for sale (the "Notice of Sale").

WHEREAS, There has also been presented to this meeting a copy of the Preliminary Official Statement (the "Preliminary Official Statement") relating to the public offering of the Bonds that has been prepared in connection with the issuance and sale of the Bonds;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY, VIRGINIA:

- 1. The issuance and sale of the Bonds, pursuant to and upon the terms and conditions set forth herein and in the Indenture, and the refunding of the Bonds to be Refunded and leasing of the Leased Property for the benefit of the County are hereby approved and authorized. The following plan for financing the costs of refunding the Bonds to be Refunded is approved. The Authority shall use the proceeds from the issuance of the Bonds to refund the Bonds to be Refunded, including to the extent determined appropriate or required funding an escrow account for the advance refunding of all or a portion of the Bonds to be Refunded and establishing and funding a debt service reserve fund for the Bonds. The Authority shall lease the Leased Property to the County for a lease term not less than the term of the Bonds at a rent sufficient to pay when due the interest and principal on the Bonds. The obligation of the Authority to pay principal and interest on the Bonds will be limited to rent payments received from the County under the Financing Lease. The obligation of the County to pay rent under the Financing Lease will be subject to the Board of Supervisors making annual appropriations for such purpose. The Board of Supervisors on behalf of the County has adopted this resolution as its moral obligation to the The Bonds will be secured by an assignment of rents to the repayment of the Bonds. Bondholders as the holder thereof. If the Board of Supervisors exercises its right not to appropriate money for rent payments, the Bondholders may terminate the lease or otherwise exclude the County from possession of the Leased Property.
- 2. The Documents shall be in substantially the forms submitted to this meeting, which are hereby approved with such completions, omissions, modifications, insertions and changes, including, but not limited to rates, redemption premium, payment dates, amounts, prices, maturities, as may be approved by the Chair or in her absence, the Vice Chair, of the Board of Supervisors (together, the "Chair"), the County Administrator or the other officers executing them, their execution to constitute conclusive evidence of his or her approval of any such completions, omissions, changes, insertions and changes.
- 3. The Chair or the County Administrator and all other appropriate officers of the County are each hereby authorized and directed to execute the Documents and to deliver the Documents to the other parties thereto and to execute all certificates and documents, including but not limited to a non-arbitrage and tax certificate, IRS Form 8038-G and such other federal tax compliance certificates and agreements relating to the tax-exempt status of the interest on the Bonds, and to take all such further action as they may consider necessary or desirable in connection with the issuance and sale of the Bonds, the redemption and defeasance in full of the Bonds to be Refunded and the leasing of the Leased Property.
- 4. The County Administrator, in collaboration with representatives of the Authority and the Financial Advisor, is authorized and directed to take all proper steps to cause the Notice of Sale, or a summary thereof, as appropriate, to be prepared and distributed, in accordance with standard practices of municipal securities, to advertise the Bonds for sale. The Notice of Sale shall be in a form that is not inconsistent with the provisions of this Resolution and as the County Administrator, in consultation with representatives of the Authority and the Financial Advisor, may consider to be in the best interest of the County. The actions of the County Administrator in support of selling and awarding the Bonds shall be conclusive as to the County, and no further action shall be necessary on the part of the Board of Supervisors. After bids have been received

and evaluated with respect to the Bonds and the Bonds have been awarded by the County Administrator to the successful purchaser, the Chair and the County Administrator are authorized and directed to take all proper steps to cause the Bonds to be prepared and executed in accordance with the terms of this Resolution and to deliver the Bonds to the purchaser thereof upon payment therefor.

- 5. The Chair, the County Administrator and such officers and agents of the County as the County Administrator may designate are hereby authorized to complete, prepare, execute, if required, and deliver the Preliminary Official Statement, a final Official Statement, and such other offering or disclosure documents as may be necessary in relation to the County to effect the sale of the Bonds. The Preliminary Official Statement, a final Official Statement, and such other documents shall be published in such publications and distributed in such manner, including by electronic distribution, and at such times as the County Administrator, or such officers and agents of the County as he may designate, in consultation with the Financial Advisor, shall determine. The form of the Preliminary Official Statement and a final Official Statement in substantially the form of the Preliminary Official Statement are approved with respect to the information contained therein pertaining to the County and their use in connection with the offering and sale of the Bonds is approved and authorized. The Chair and the County Administrator, either of whom may act, are authorized to deem the Preliminary Official Statement "final" for purposes of Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12 (the "Rule") in relation to the County.
- 6. The Bonds shall mature not later than 25 years from the date of issuance thereof, with such shorter maturities and sinking fund and optional redemption provisions as the officers of the County approving such terms shall deem appropriate based on the recommendation of the Financial Advisor (but any redemption premium shall not exceed two percent (2%), and shall be sold initially at an purchase price (exclusive of original issue discount) of not less than 98% of the face amount of the Bonds plus interest accrued thereon to the date of issuance of the Bonds, if any, in any case so as to produce an annual true interest cost of the Bonds of not to exceed 4.00%.
- 7. To provide additional credit enhancement of the Bonds and to achieve additional financing economies for the County, the Chair or the County Administrator is hereby authorized, based on the recommendation of the Financial Advisor, to agree to municipal bond insurance proposals, which if executed, are hereby approved, and the Chair or the County Administrator is authorized to enter into such instruments and agreements as shall be necessary or desirable to obtain such municipal bond insurance. The Board also authorizes the Chair or the County Administrator, based on the recommendation of the Financial Advisor, to agree to obtain a rating from national rating agencies and to obtain a surety bond for deposit in a Debt Service Reserve Fund under the Indenture, in lieu of funding such Debt Service Reserve Fund from proceeds of the Bonds.
- 8. The Chair or the County Administrator is authorized and directed to execute, deliver and, as appropriate, record the Ground Lease, the Financing Lease and the Assignment, and all necessary certificates and documents and to approve the terms of the Indenture and the Escrow

Agreement and to take all such further action as they may consider necessary or desirable in connection with the issuance and sale of the Bonds and the undertaking of refunding the Bonds to be Refunded. The Chair or the County Administrator is authorized to select a corporate trustee to act as Trustee under the Indenture, based on the recommendation of the Financial Advisor. The Chair, the County Administrator, County Attorney, County Treasurer and County Finance Director and all other officers of the County are hereby authorized and directed to work with representatives of the Authority, Bond Counsel and the Financial Advisor to perform all services and prepare all documentation necessary to bring the Bonds to market and to issue the same.

- 9. Any authorization herein to execute a document shall include authorization to deliver it to the other parties thereto and to record such document where appropriate.
- 10. The Leased Property is hereby declared to be essential to the efficient operation of the County, and the Board of Supervisors anticipates that the Leased Property will continue to be essential to the operation of the County during the term of the Bonds.
- 11. The County represents and covenants that it shall not take or omit to take any action the taking or omission of which will cause the Bonds to be "arbitrage bonds" within the meaning of Section 148 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") or otherwise cause the interest on the Bonds to be includable in gross income for Federal income tax purposes under existing law. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the County shall comply with any provision of law that may require the Authority or the County at any time to rebate to the United States any part of the earnings derived from the investment of the gross proceeds from the sale of the Bonds.
- 12. Nothing in this Resolution, the Bonds or the Documents shall constitute a debt or a pledge of the faith and credit of the Authority or the County, and the Authority shall not be obligated to make any payments under the Bonds or the Documents except from payments made by or on behalf of the County under the Financing Lease pursuant to annual appropriation thereof in accordance with applicable law. The Board of Supervisors, while recognizing that it is not empowered to make any binding commitment to make appropriations beyond the current fiscal year, hereby states its intent to make annual appropriations in future fiscal years in amounts sufficient to make all payments under the Financing Lease and hereby recommends that future Board of Supervisors do likewise during the term of thereof.
- 13. The County hereby designates the Bonds in the principal amount of up to \$14,000,000 as "qualified tax-exempt obligations" for the purpose of Section 265(b)(3) of the Code, and allocates to the Authority in relation to the issuance of the Bonds, up to \$14,000,000 of its allocation of "qualified tax-exempt obligations" for the purpose of Section 265(b)(3) of the Code. The County has not issued, and does not reasonably anticipate (nor do any of its subordinate entities reasonably anticipate) issuing more than \$30,000,000 in qualified tax exempt obligations during calendar year 2010 and the County (and any of its subordinate entities) will not designate more than \$30,000,000 of qualified tax-exempt obligations pursuant to Section 265(b)(3) of the Code during such calendar year.
- 14. All other acts of the officers of the County that are in conformity with the purposes and intent of this Resolution and in furtherance of the issuance and sale of the Bonds and the refunding of the Bonds to be Refunded are ratified and approved.
 - 15. This Resolution shall take effect immediately.

The vote on the foregoing ordinance was as follows:

AYE
James D. Politis
None
Mary W. Biggs
Doug Marrs
John A. Muffo
Gary D. Creed
William H. Brown
Annette S. Perkins

Remainder of Page Left Blank Intentionally

R-FY-11-39 RESOLUTION APPROVING THE BUDGET CALENDAR FOR FY 2012

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by William H. Brown and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia that the proposed FY 2012 Budget Calendar is hereby approved. It is the intent of the Board of Supervisors that departments, offices, and agencies requesting funds through the budget process adhere to the deadlines prescribed within the calendar.

COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY BUDGET CALENDAR FOR FY 2012

The Budget Calendar is a schedule for the development of the County budget. It is <u>very important</u> that everyone adhere to the Budget Calendar and fulfill his/her responsibility in the budgeting process.

<u>DATE</u>	<u>FUNCTION</u>	RESPONSIBILITY
November 1, 2010	Budget work session on issues for budget development (Special Board Meeting)	Board of Supervisors
November 5, 2010	Budget working documents given to divisions and mailed to external agencies along with special instructions and guidelines.	Financial & Management Services
December 6-10, 2010	Divisions without access to the County's network enter base budget changes.	Financial & Management Services
December 30, 2010	Due date for Proposed Base Budget revisions for all divisions, agencies, and organizations. (except for Schools)	Directors, Divisions, and Agency Heads
January 10, 2011	Public Hearing for citizen input. (Regular Board Meeting)	Board of Supervisors
January 10 - February 7, 2011	County Administrator reviews budget requests with Directors, Constitutional Officers, and Agency Heads.	County Administrator

DATE	FUNCTION	RESPONSIBILITY
January 24, 2011	Budget work session on issues for budget development (Regular Board Meeting)	Board of Supervisors
February 14, 2011	Budget work session on issues for budget development - School Budget Presentation. (Regular Board Meeting)	School Board
February 28, 2011	Budget work session on issues for budget development (Regular Board Meeting)	Board of Supervisors
March 4, 2011	Proposed Budget document delivered to Board of Supervisors.	County Administrator
March 7, 2011	Presentation of FY 2012 Proposed Budget. (Special Board Meeting)	County Administrator
March 7-14, 2011	Budget work sessions on issues for budget development - develop advertised budget and tax rate. (Special Work Sessions)	Board of Supervisors
March 14, 2011	Budget work session on issues for budget development - establish advertised tax rate and prepare budget synopsis notices of public hearing in paper. (Regular Board Meeting)	Board of Supervisors
March 24, 2011	Public Hearing on advertised tax rate and budget. (Special Board Meeting)	Board of Supervisors
March 14 - April 11, 2011	Work sessions (as needed) to adopt budget (including School and establish tax rate.	Board of Supervisors
April 11, 2011	Establish tax rate and adopt budget. (Regular Board Meeting)	Board of Supervisors
By June 30, 2011	Make final budget appropriation.	Board of Supervisors
After July 1, 2011	Divisions and Agencies notified of final appropriations.	County Administrator

- 1 <u>PUBLIC HEARING:</u> A public hearing on the budget is required. If a tax rate increase is needed, notice must be published at least 7 days before the public hearing and at least 14 days before final action.
- 2 <u>TAX RATE AND BUDGET:</u> The tax rate and budget must be established at the same time. This must be done early to process the first installment of real estate taxes.
- 3 <u>LEGAL NOTICES AND PUBLIC HEARINGS:</u> Legal notices and public hearings on the School Budget may be considered separately from the Non-School Budget. The School Budget must be approved by May 1, 2011 or within 30 days after receipt of State revenue estimates; which is later.

The vote on the forgoing resolution was as follows:

AYE: NAY:
Mary W. Biggs
None
Doug Marrs
John A. Muffo
Gary D. Creed
William H. Brown
James D. Politis
Annette S. Perkins

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

The County Administrator reported on the following:

On Line Payments

Supervisor Politis has requested information regarding the County's ability to receive payments on-line. A report was provided to the Board in their last weekly report.

Litter Clean-Up Program

Captain Hall is scheduling roads for litter cleanup. Board members were asked to contact the County Administration staff with names of any roads they would like to be added to the list.

Supervisor Marrs asked that the following roads be added: Ellett Road near the Cambria Baptist Church, and Blossom Drive.

Public-Private Partnership

There have been discussions regarding the possible use of a Public-Private Education Facilities and Infrastructure Act (PPEA) to help with the capital needs in Montgomery County. The County does not have a policy on PPEA's and it is the County Administrator's understanding that localities have to have a formal policy before accepting any proposals under PPEA. The

Board, by consensus instructed, the County Administrator to move forward in developing a policy.

The County Attorney reported that McGuireWoods Consulting would like to make a presentation on PPEA's at a future Board of Supervisors meeting.

Joint Board of Supervisors and School Board Meeting

A tentative date of November 9, 2010 has been scheduled for a possible joint meeting with the School Board.

<u>Halloween – October 31, 2010</u>

Several surrounding localities have asked if Montgomery County was going to consider changing the date for trick or treating to Saturday, October 30, 2010. It has been Montgomery County's practice not to change the date of Halloween. The Towns of Blacksburg and Christiansburg will keep their trick or treating day on Halloween as well.

BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS

<u>Supervisor Marrs</u> appreciates citizens coming to the Board of Supervisors meetings and expressing their concerns

<u>Supervisor Biggs</u> appreciates the citizen support and numerous e-mails and calls regarding the school needs. Supervisors Biggs commented that the presentation from OWPR was not the same presentation made to the School Board. She stated that she is continuing to contact and work with the County's state legislators to see what can be done at the state and federal level to get help.

<u>Supervisor Politis</u> expressed his appreciation to the citizens for coming out and voicing their concerns. He is anxious to receive information on the PPEA.

<u>Supervisor Muffo</u> stated he too was anxious to receive information on the PPEA and other options for financing school capital projects. Supervisor Muffo commented that he had three sons that attended Blacksburg High School and it was disturbing to find out that the welds in the school facility were not inspected. He stated apparently there is something wrong with the system in place and hopes the School Board is correcting their policy on inspection of school facilities.

<u>Supervisor Creed</u> expressed his appreciation to the citizens who are attending the Board meetings. He expressed disbelief that the Blacksburg High School was not inspected during construction by any town official. There may not be any records but stated they cannot convince him that no one inspected the facility.

Supervisor Creed also commented on statements made that the school has no windows and it was more conducive to learning when students have windows. He does not know if this statement is true; however, Blacksburg High School has been in the top 100 schools in the country and this achievement has been accomplished with students learning in a facility with no windows.

Supervisor Creed stated he was interested in any information that would help find ways to pay for school capital projects. He is not against schools; however, he is against raising taxes on residents who cannot afford an increase. The majority of the 632 e-mails he received in a two day period were against a real estate tax increase.

Supervisor Creed also commented that he has requested information from the School Board on how much money from the Performance Energy Bonds was allocated to the Blacksburg High School and is still waiting for this information.

Montgomery Regional Solid Waste Authority will have a ribbon cutting on October 14, 2010 to celebrate their partnership with LMOP Industry Partner Green kW Energy, who designed, built, and now operates the energy facility that produces electricity from the methane gas from the landfill. Some of the electricity is used to power Montgomery Regional Solid Waste Authority's offices and recycling center and the excess electricity will be sold to Appalachian Power Company for distribution to its customers.

Supervisor Perkins asked the Board to invite Michael St. Jean, Executive Director, of the Virginia Tech/Montgomery Regional Airport Authority, to Board of Supervisors meeting to update the Board on the Airport's activities and capital plan.

<u>Private-Public Partnership – Supervisor Perkins stated she is delighted that a presentation on </u> public-private partnership will be made to the Board of Supervisors. The Board needs to find creative ways of financing capital projects in the county. Supervisor Perkins also stated that the County needs to start discussions on what to do with vacant facilities.

ADJOURNMENT

The Board adjourned to Monday, October 25, 2010 at 6:00 p.m.				
The meeting adjourned at 10:25 p.m.				
APPROVED:	ATTEST:			
Annette S. Perkins Chair, Board of Supervisors		F. Craig Meadows County Administrator		